

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/679,096		10/04/2000	Sol Aisenberg	EXC-0001	EXC-0001 9651	
23413	7590	12/20/2001	·			
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP				EXAMINER		
	ROAD SOUTH LD, CT 06002 JEFFERY, JOHN A			JOHN A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3742		
				DATE MAILED: 12/20/2001		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

50

ين .			50
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/679,096	AISENBERG ET AL.	
nancoly neach	Examin r	Art Unit	1000
	John A. Jeffery	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover she t with th	correspondence addre	9SS
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2001 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper replication ich places the application	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SIX MONTHS OF THE STEED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. Se	e MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of thed statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extent the final Office action; or (2)	nsion fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		-	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c)	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) rejected: 1-12, 14-17, 27-35.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

John A. Jeffery Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/679,096



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: (1) The proposed amendment to claim 1 effectively incorporates the limitations of claim 29 into claim 1. However, claim 29 is still pending rendering it a substantial duplicate of claim 1. (2) Applicant's argument that there is insufficient motivation to wall-mount the portable dryers of the cited prior art is not deemed to be persuasive. The examiner took Official Notice that such wall-mounting of portable dryers is well known and replete in the art so that the dryer can be fixed to the wall thereby precluding the need to hold the dryer during operation. Further evidence of this fact can be found in the newly cited patents to Rose, Andis et al, and Hamilton which are representative of this well-known technique and cited herein for illustrative purposes.